AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICA	ATION OF CO	ONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF	PAGES	
						1	3	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE		4. R	EQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PR	OJECT NO.	. (If applicable)	
0001 6. ISSUED BY CODE	07/01/2	022	7 /	ADMINISTEDED DV //f other than flow 6)	CODE	- 1		
6. ISSUED BY CODE	CAD		7.1	ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	CODE			
CAD US Environmental Protection 26 West Martin Luther King D Mail Code: W136 Cincinnati OH 45268-0001								
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street,	county, State and	ZIP Code)	(x)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.				
			-	68HERC22R0228				
			х	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)				
				07/01/2022				
				10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO	0.			
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)				
CODE	FACILITY COD	E						
	11. THIS ITE	EM ONLY APPLIES TO A	MEN	DMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to deach letter or electronic communication makes referen 12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required). 13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO M.	change an offer ance to the solicite uired)	already submitted , such attion and this amendment	chan t, and	ge may be made by letter or electronic communica	ation, p ecified.	rovided		
CHECK ONE A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED FORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.	PURSUANT TO:	(Specify authority) THE	CHA	NGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN TH	HE COI	NTRACT		
				ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in TY OF FAR 43.103(b).	n payin	g office,		
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMEN	TIS ENTERED I	INTO PURSUANT TO AL	JTHC	PRITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification	and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not	is required t	o sign this document and	retu	irn copies to the issuing	office.	•		
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (LIST OF CHANGES: See attached questions;	,	0 ,		•	,	22 NAS		
1)Q: Subtask 1.1 says 60 day us with the scientific/review likely be enough time to find meeting, interview them for the science meeting with EPA	w topics d the ri- potentia	to be discus ght people wh l conflicts,	sse no ar	ed at the science meeting would be available on the ad discuss their potential	. Th e da l in	nat wou te of volven	ald not the ment in	
date of the science-meeting v				=		-		
feasible than 60 days and co				-				
for this kind of activity.				<u>.</u> .	r			
Continued								
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the	e document refe	erenced in Item 9 A or 10	۹, as	heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in fu	ull force	and effect.	•	
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)					
			A	ndrea C. Dehne				
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED	16	B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		160	D. DATE SIGNED	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)				(Signature of Contracting Officer)			20 (DEV 44/0040)	

Previous edition unusable

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 11/2016) Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243 CONTINUATION SHEET REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED
68HERC22R0228/0001

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR

ITEM NO.	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
***************************************		<u> </u>			
	1)A: Updated to 90 days and clarified that the				
	EPA workshop date will be set immediately upon				
	award of task order.				
	2)Q: Subtask 1.4 says "This meeting is expected				
	to be conducted virtually via webinar." The word				
	"expected" indicates a final decision has not yet				
	been made as to whether the meeting would be				
	virtual or in-person. In the past, including our				
	most recent submittal, we were expected to				
	arrange for and cover the travel costs of experts				
	to attend an in-person meeting. We need				
	clarification on whether our cost estimate should				
	include travel costs.				
	2)A: Updated to be virtual only				
	3)Q: Subtask 1.5 Comment Compilation Does the				
	wording mean that we should provide EPA with any				
	slides or visuals that the experts show at the				
	meeting? Or, does the wording mean that we				
	should provide written summaries of the input				
	each expert provided orally at the meeting?				
	Previously, Academies staff suggested to the				
	invited experts that they prepare a slide or two				
	to summarize their key remarks and the slides				
	were to be submitted to EPA, but slides were not				
	required. We did not ask the experts to prepare any written submittals in addition to their				
	visual aids. And Academies staff did not prepare				
	any written summaries of the input provided by				
	the experts. We need clarification as this may				
	have an impact on the sort of internal approvals				
	that we need to initiate the project.				
	3) A: Updated to clarify that slides from SMEs are				
	optional; written SME comments or NASEM				
	compilation of SME oral comments not being asked				
	for.				
	4)Q: VIII. Deliverables: Item (a) calls for us to				
	provide a milestone chart within 30 days of task				
	initiation. It will be difficult to prepare a			Tagasana	
	realistic chart without having EPA's scientific/review topics and not knowing the date				
	of the science meeting. If the chart is expected				
	to be generic (without delivery dates), perhaps				
	it can be characterized as a template.				
	Continued				
	Concinued				

PAGE

2

OF

3

	REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED	PAGE	OF
CONTINUATION SHEET	68HERC22R0228/0001	3	3

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR

ITEM NO.	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY		UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	4) A: Update from 30 days after TO initiation to				
	instead be delivered at the NASEM-EPA pre-meeting				
	to be held 7 days after EPA confirms the workshop				
	date and science topics.				
	-				
	TOCOR: Dahnish				
	Shams/(202)564-2758/shams.dahnish@epa.gov				
	ALTOCOR: Garland				
	Waleko/(513)540-9426/waleko.garland@epa.gov				
			1 1		